

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/529,496	. 09/16/2005	Anders Hyltander	10400-000151/US	8521
30593 HARNESS, DI	7590 10/29/200 CKEY & PIERCE, P.L		EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 8910			CARLOS, ALVIN LEABRES	
RESTON, VA	20195		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			4138	
				
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		·	(/
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/529,496	HYLTANDER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alvin L. Carlos	4138	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing daté of this commu	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	January 2006.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ The	nis action is non-final.		•
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	·	·	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims		ı	
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			-
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 29 March 2005 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ob ne drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a lie	ents have been received. Ents have been received in A Tiority documents have beer Teau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s) I) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/29/2005.	_ Paper No(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 5, 11, 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Regarding claims 5, 11, 16-18, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-2, 5, 7-8, 11-12, 16, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jacobus 5769640.

Re claim 1, Jacobus teaches a method for generating a virtual anatomic environment for use in a computer based visual simulation of minimally invasive surgery (column 2 lines 22-29), comprising providing a main virtual anatomic environment

Art Unit: 4138

(column 2 lines 40-43), selecting a local anatomic environment from a predefined library comprising a set of two or more separately modeled local anatomic environments (column 3 lines 57-67), including the selected local anatomic environment in main anatomic environment to form virtual anatomic environment, thereby allowing generation of different virtual environments (column 4 lines 12-19).

Re claim 2, Jacobus teaches set of local anatomic environments is arranged to represent a set of anatomic variations for a critical internal area, occurring in living beings (column 3 lines 57-67).

Re claim 5, Jacobus teaches the main virtual anatomic environment is arranged to model an internal cavity of a human (column 5 lines 1-6), such as an abdominal cavity or a chest cavity, while the set of local anatomic environments is arranged to simulate different arrangements of arteries, veins and ducts around an organ arranged in internal cavity, such as a gall bladder or a heart (column 3 lines 57-67).

Re claim 7, Jacobus teaches a device for generating a virtual anatomic environment (column 4 lines 1-4) for use in a computer based visual simulation of minimally invasive surgery comprising a modeling device for providing a main virtual anatomic environment (column 5 lines 7-9), a library comprising a set of two or more separately modeled local anatomic environments (column 5 line 67 and column 6 line 1), means for incorporating one of the local anatomic environments of the library into the main virtual anatomic environment together forming virtual anatomic environment, thereby allowing generation of different virtual environments (column 5 lines 31-35).

Art Unit: 4138

Re claim 8, Jacobus teaches a selection device for selecting one of local anatomic environments from library to be included in main anatomic environment (column 5 lines 20-22).

Re claim 11, Jacobus teaches the main virtual anatomic environment is arranged to model an internal cavity of a human (column 5 lines 1-6), such as an abdominal cavity or a chest cavity, while the set of local anatomic environments is arranged to simulate different arrangements of arteries, veins and ducts around an organ arranged in internal cavity, such as a gall bladder or a heart (column 3 lines 57-67).

Re claim 12, Jacobus teaches a computer-based minimal-invasive surgery simulation system comprising a device for generating a virtual anatomic environment (column 4 lines 1-4).

Re claim 16, Jacobus teaches the main virtual anatomic environment is arranged to model an internal cavity of a human (column 5 lines 1-6), such as an abdominal cavity or a chest cavity, while the set of local anatomic environments is arranged to simulate different arrangements of arteries, veins and ducts around an organ arranged in internal cavity, such as a gall bladder or a heart (column 3 lines 57-67).

Re claim 19, Jacobus teaches a computer-based minimal-invasive surgery simulation system comprising a device for generating a virtual anatomic environment (column 4 lines 1-4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 3-4, 6, 9-10, 13-15, 17-18, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jacobus 5769640 in view of Ramshaw 5791907.

Re claim 3, Jacobus teaches the invention as discussed above.

However, Jacobus fails to teach the following claimed limitations as taught by Ramshaw: the step of randomly selecting one of the local anatomic environments in the library (column 17 lines 9-12).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Jacobus's invention in view of Ramshaw in order to provide a low-cost medical educational and training device providing an interactive user environment as taught by Ramshaw (column 2 lines 50-52).

Re claim 4, Jacobus teaches the invention as discussed above.

However, Jacobus fails to teach the following claimed limitations as taught by Ramshaw: the probability of randomly selecting a certain local anatomic environment essentially corresponds with the degree of occurrence of that local anatomic environment in living beings (column 17 lines 25-31).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Jacobus's invention in view of Ramshaw in order to provide a low-

Art Unit: 4138

cost medical educational and training device providing an interactive user environment as taught by Ramshaw (column 2 lines 50-52).

Re claim 6, Jacobus teaches the invention as discussed above.

However, Jacobus fails to teach the following claimed limitations as taught by Ramshaw: Selecting a certain local anatomic environments from the library and including it into main virtual environment by user selection (column 17 lines 25-31).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Jacobus's invention in view of Ramshaw in order to provide a low-cost medical educational and training device providing an interactive user environment as taught by Ramshaw (column 2 lines 50-52).

Re claim 9, Jacobus teaches the invention as discussed above.

However, Jacobus fails to teach the following claimed limitations as taught by Ramshaw: randomly select one of local anatomic environments from the library to be included in main anatomic environment (column 17 lines 9-12).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Jacobus's invention in view of Ramshaw in order to provide a low-cost medical educational and training device providing an interactive user environment as taught by Ramshaw (column 2 lines 50-52).

Re claim 10, Jacobus teaches the invention as discussed above.

However, Jacobus fails to teach the following claimed limitations as taught by Ramshaw: randomly select one of local anatomic environments in a way that the probability of selecting a certain local anatomic environment essentially corresponds

Art Unit: 4138

with the degree of occurrence of that local anatomic environment in human beings (column 17 lines 25-31).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Jacobus's invention in view of Ramshaw in order to provide a low-cost medical educational and training device providing an interactive user environment as taught by Ramshaw (column 2 lines 50-52).

Re claim 13, Jacobus teaches the invention as discussed above.

However, Jacobus fails to teach the following claimed limitations as taught by Ramshaw: the step of randomly selecting one of the local anatomic environments in the library (column 17 lines 9-12).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Jacobus's invention in view of Ramshaw in order to provide a low-cost medical educational and training device providing an interactive user environment as taught by Ramshaw (column 2 lines 50-52).

Re claims 14 and 15, Jacobus teaches the invention as discussed above.

However, Jacobus fails to teach the following claimed limitations as taught by Ramshaw: Selecting a certain local anatomic environments from the library and including it into main virtual environment by user selection (column 17 lines 25-31).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Jacobus's invention in view of Ramshaw in order to provide a low-cost medical educational and training device providing an interactive user environment as taught by Ramshaw (column 2 lines 50-52).

Re claims 17-18, Jacobus teaches the invention as discussed above.

Furthermore, Jacobus teaches the main virtual anatomic environment is arranged to model an internal cavity of a human (column 5 lines 1-6), such as an abdominal cavity or a chest cavity, while the set of local anatomic environments is arranged to simulate different arrangements of arteries, veins and ducts around an organ arranged in internal cavity, such as a gall bladder or a heart (column 3 lines 57-67).

Re claim 20, Jacobus teaches the invention as discussed above. Furthermore, Jacobus teaches a computer-based minimal-invasive surgery simulation system comprising a device for generating a virtual anatomic environment (column 4 lines 1-4).

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure as per the attached Noticed of References Cited.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin L. Carlos whose telephone number is 571-2703077. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5:00pm EST Mon-Fri. (alternate Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ehud Gartenberg can be reached on 571-2724828. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 4138

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

10/24/2007

EHUD GARTENBERG